| | 1 | WILLIAM P. RING | | | | | |
|--|------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 2 | Rrian V. Furniya (State Per No. 02548) | | | | | |
| | 2 | 2 Brian Y. Furuya (State Bar No. 025486) Deputy County Attorney | | | | | |
| | 3 | 110 E. Cherry Ave. | | | | | |
| | | Flagstaff, Arizona 86001 | | | | | |
| | 4 | T: (928) 679-8200 | | | | | |
| | 5 | F: (928) 214-6115 | | | | | |
| | 3 | bfuruya@coconino.az.gov Attorneys for Coconino County Flood Cont. | val District | | | | |
| | 6 | Thorneys for Edebuno County Produ Control District | | | | | |
| | 7 | IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA | | | | | |
| | 8 | IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COCONINO | | | | | |
| | 9 | | Case No. CV2018-00616 | | | | |
| E -4627 | 4.0 | COCONINO COUNTY FLOOD | | | | | |
| ഥ <u></u> 쓰 ~ | 10 | CONTROL DISTRICT, a political | DDEL HAVE DE VIEW | | | | |
| RING Y ATTO AVENU A 8600 | 11 | subdivision of the State of Arizona, | PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION ORDER | | | | |
| JE > S | 5 11 | Plaintiff, | ORDER | | | | |
| IAN COU HER ARIZ | 12 | , | | | | | |
| WILLIAM NINO COUN 10 E. CHERF STAFF, ARIZO (928) 679 | 10 | v. | (Assigned to Hon. Cathleen Brown Nichols; | | | | |
| WILI COCONING 110 E. | 13 | TOWN OF THE AVANGE AND TO A COLOR | Division 5) | | | | |
| S Ā | 14 | TOWN OF TUSAYAN, an Arizona municipal corporation, | | | | | |
| | - ' | mamorpar corporation, | | | | | |
| | 15 | Defendant. | | | | | |
| | 1.0 | | | | | | |
| | 16 | | | | | | |
| | 17 | THIS MATTER, having come before the Court upon the Plaintiff's application, and | | | | | |
| | | and | | | | | |
| | 18 | after review of the pleadings and the Parties' Stipulation for Entry of Injunctive Orders, and | | | | | |
| | 19 | being advised in the premises, now therefore, based upon the Parties' stipulation and | | | | | |
| | 20 | agreement and for good cause: | | | | | |
| | 21 | //// | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 22 | | 1 | | | | |
| | 23 | | | | | | |
| | | Ē: | | | | | |

WILLIAM P. RING COCONINO COUNTY ATTORNEY 110 E. CHERRY AVENUE FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA 86001-4627 (923) 679-800

THE COURT FINDS AND CONCLUDES AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter by virtue of A.R.S. §§ 12-122, 12-123; 12-1801; 48-3613; and 48-3614. The Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant due to their presence in Coconino County at all times relevant to this matter, and also due to their appearance in this case.
- 2. The Defendant, Town of Tusayan (the "Town"), owns that certain parcel of real property (the "Subject Property"), known generally as Coconino County Assessor's Parcel No. 502-14-001B.
- 3. The Subject Property is situated approximately 2.5 miles southeast of the Town, and comprises approximately 20.07 acres of land originally associated with the "Ten X Ranch," and which Subject Property was annexed within the corporate boundary limits of the Town on or about October 18, 2012 by the Town's Ordinance No. 2011-11-02-01.
- 4. The Plaintiff, Coconino County Flood Control District (the "District), is the entity with regulatory jurisdiction over those "Watercourses" and "Floodplains" located within the Subject Property, pursuant to A.R.S. § 48-3603.
- 5. The Town's Ordinance 2018-01 is the subject of an effective referendum petition, and the Town, therefore, has *not* validly assumed jurisdiction over floodplain administration, pursuant to A.R.S. § 48-3610.
- 6. The Subject Property, by virtue of its location and the topography of the surrounding area, is upstream from the Town.

| | | | | | | 9 |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------|---|---|
| ח | ORNEY | E E | FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA 86001-4627 (928) 679-8200 | 1 | 0 | |
| WILLIAM F. KING | SOCONINO COUNTY ATTORNEY | 110 E. CHERRY AVENUE | JNA 860 | -8200 | 1 | 1 |
| LIAM | COUN | CHERR | ⁻ , ARIZ(928) 679 | (928) 679-8200 | 1 | 2 |
| | CONINC | 110 E. | GSTAF | 9) | 1 | 3 |
| | Ö | | FLĄ | | 1 | 4 |

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

7. Portions of the Subject Property contain, and are encumbered by, a Federal Emergency Management Agency ("FEMA") Zone A Special Flood Hazard Area, per Flood Insurance Rate Map 04005C3850G.

- 8. The boundaries of the Subject Property contain a portion of the so-called "Coconino Wash" and a portion of at least one tributary to the Coconino Wash, which Coconino Wash and its tributaries are "Watercourses," as that term is specifically defined by A.R.S. § 48-3601(12).
- 9. That those areas within the Subject Property that have been designated as Zone A Special Flood Hazard Area are within a "Floodplain," as that term is specifically defined by A.R.S. § 48-3601(6).
- 10. The District alleges violation of A.R.S. §§ 48-3613(A) and 48-3615(A), as well as provisions and requirements of the Coconino County Zoning Ordinance, Section 2.15B: FPM—Floodplain Management Overlay Zone (the "County Floodplain Regulations"), \P 2 and 4.
- 11. The Town has engaged in development of the Subject Property as workforce housing that has resulted in modification, excavation, grading, fill, compaction, construction, and other alteration of the Watercourses and Floodplains situated within the boundaries of the Subject Property ("Development Work").
- 12. The Town did not, and has not, obtained a valid floodplain use permit or any other written authorization for any of this said Development Work at the Subject Property from the District.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

| 13 | . The work already done by the Town at the Subject Property has diverted, retarded, |
|----|---|
| | and/or obstructed the flow of waters within the Watercourses and Floodplain |
| | located within the Subject Property. |

- 14. Insufficient study and data were provided to the District, via the preliminary reports for the Town's project at the Subject Property, to properly evaluate the effect of the Town's work within the Floodplain, and/or to develop proper flood control measures and/or flood resistant structures.
- 15. The failure to provide sufficiently comprehensive and reliable data and analysis with regard to the Development Work being performed by the Town at the Subject Property poses a potential public safety risk.
- 16. The Town's development activities at the Subject Property are a violation of the above-referenced provisions of the Arizona Revised Statutes and the County Floodplain Regulations, and are a public nuisance per se.
- 17. The safety and welfare of the public require that the Court issue an injunction in this case under the terms agreed to by the parties.
- 18. There is no doubt that there is a likelihood of irreparable harm if Defendant is not enjoined from its present development activities and Plaintiff will likely succeed on the merits of its claims.

Upon due consideration, and based upon the stipulation and agreement of the Parties herein, and in satisfaction of the requirements and provisions of Rule 65(d)(1) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure,

22

WILLIAM P. RING COCONINO COUNTY ATTORNEY 110 E. CHERRY AVENUE FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA 86001-4627 (928) 679-8200

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Defendant Town of Tusayan shall cease any and all actions whereby the Town purports to act as floodplain administrator, until such time as the Town validly and finally assumes jurisdiction over the Floodplains within its corporate boundaries pursuant to A.R.S. § 48-3610.
- 2. The District shall have continuing jurisdiction to enforce the Arizona Revised Statutes and County Floodplain Regulations to correct the Town's current violations thereof at the Subject Property as described in this Injunctive Order and in the Complaint filed in this action, regardless of the outcome of any future resolution, action, or referendum by the Town to assume jurisdiction over the Floodplains within its corporate boundaries.
- 3. Within 10 days of the filing of this Order, the Town shall inform the Arizona

 Department of Real Estate and the Arizona Department of Water Resources, in

 writing, that it acknowledges and accepts that the District is, and shall remain, the

 effective Floodplain Administrator, with continuing jurisdiction over any

 development or modification of the Watercourses and Floodplain within the Subject

 Property to remedy the current violations.
- 4. The Town shall design and propose to the District mitigation measures that effectively restore the conveyance and water storage capacity of the Watercourses hydraulically connected to Coconino Wash and its tributary within the Subject Property, and which will, in the sole and exclusive opinion and discretion of the

mitigation measures are to remain present, operative, and unchanged until such time as the Town obtains a Conditional Letter of Map Revision ("CLOMR") from FEMA, and a floodplain use permit is issued by the District to the Town for any future work at the Subject Property. Nothing herein shall be construed as prohibiting work limited to the purpose of repairing and/or maintaining mitigation structures (as those mitigation structures are described in this Paragraph 4 and its subparagraphs), which repairs and/or maintenance must first be authorized by the District. Authorization for repair and/or maintenance shall be in the sole and exclusive discretion of the District, which discretion shall be exercised reasonably. The proposal, installation, and documentation of these mitigation structures shall proceed as follows:

a. The proposal from the Town must include a map of the work areas within the site, channel and basin details, typical details, and hydrologic and hydraulic calculations.

District (which discretion shall be reasonably exercised), be sufficient to acceptably

hydrological pre-disturbance condition of the Subject Property. Once installed, such

minimize flooding danger by reasonably approximating the hydraulic and

b. The Town must provide to the District a schedule of construction activities,
 and must participate with the District in weekly construction meetings until
 the proposed mitigation measures are completed.

| | | | | | | 8 |
|--|--------|--|-------------------------------|----------------|---|---|
| | | | | | | 9 |
| | ORNEY | INE | FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA 86001-4627 | | 1 | 0 |
| | TYATT | Y AVEN | NA 860 | -8200 | 1 | 1 |
| | COUN | COCONINO COUNTY ATTORNEY 110 E. CHERRY AVENUE | F, ARIZO | (928) 679-8200 | 1 | 2 |
| | CONINC | | 3STAFF | 6) | 1 | 3 |
| | Š | | ĒΑ | | 1 | 4 |
| | | | | | 1 | 5 |
| | | | | | 1 | 6 |
| | | | | | 1 | 7 |
| | | | | | 1 | 8 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

0

2

19

20

21

22

23

c. Sufficient detail must be provided in the proposal plans to inform the District and construction contractor of the nature, quantity, and specifications of the work.

- d. Storm water storage (detention) shall be provided on-site at those locations on the Subject Property where stock tanks were situated prior to the Town's alteration of the Subject Property. The storage provided shall, at a minimum, be equal to the volume of storage that was historically available prior to the Town's work at the Subject Property. Impoundment and outlet structures shall be constructed with the integrity needed to ensure sufficient longevity and soundness to persist and properly function during the entire period of submission and review prior to the issuance of both a CLOMR by FEMA, as well as issuance of a floodplain use permit for Development Work to continue at the site by the District.
- e. Off-line (i.e., outside of the flow lines of Coconino Wash and the tributary) storm water storage shall be provided to a level which ensures that no additional runoff and sediment will leave the Subject Property in the current condition, as compared to the pre-developed condition.
- f. The storm water impoundment created by the entrance road recently developed by the Town at the Subject Property shall be eliminated, and the capacity of the restored channel shall be sufficient to pass flows in a nature consistent with the pre-disturbance condition of the Subject Property.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- h. No preparations, installation, or other construction or work at the Subject Property will be done prior to the District's approval of the designs of the Town's proposal.
- Once the District approves the Town's proposed mitigation measures, the Town will within one business day commence installing these proposed mitigation measures at the Subject Property only according to the approved designs.
- As-built plans must be created and certified by the Town's design engineer and shall be provided to the District within 15 business days of completion of the immediate mitigation work.
- k. The safety of the site and on-going maintenance of the Town's "Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan" shall remain the responsibility of the Town.
- 5. Following complete installation of the above-described mitigation measures, the Town will halt any and all further Development Work at the Subject Property, until specified otherwise herein or by further order of this Court. Nothing in this Paragraph 5 shall be construed as preventing the Town from performing work limited to the purpose of repairing and/or maintaining mitigation structures, which repairs and/or maintenance must first be authorized by the District. Authorization for repair

| | | | | | 9 |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|----------|---|---|
| WILLIAM P. RING | | FLAGSTAFF, ARIZONA 86001-4627 (928) 679-8200 | | 1 | 0 |
| P. RIN | Y AVEN | JNA 860 | -8200 | 1 | 1 |
| WILLIAM P. RING | 110 E. CHERRY AVENUE | FF, ARIZONA 86 (928) 679-8200 | 328) 679 | 1 | 2 |
| | 110 E. | GSTAF | 9) | 1 | 3 |
| Ĉ |) | FΓ | | 1 | 4 |

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

and/or maintenance shall be in the sole and exclusive discretion of the District, which discretion shall be exercised reasonably.

- 6. During the pendency of this action, the District is granted the right to enter the Subject Property at any time, to perform routine inspections as the mitigation work is being performed, in order to ensure that work is being accomplished according to approved plans and schedules, and also to ensure that no unauthorized Development Work is being performed.
- 7. The Town shall indemnify and defend the District from any and all damages deriving from, and/or related to, any of the Town's work at the Subject Property except to the extent such damages result -from the negligent or wrongful acts of the District. In any third party action against the District related to work on the subject property, the Town shall bear the cost of defense for the District.
- 8. With regard to its proposed development of the Subject Property, within one (1) business day following the issuance of this Preliminary Injunction Order, the Town shall concurrently pursue both a CLOMR from FEMA and a floodplain use permit from the District. The Town shall not resume or perform any Development Work at the Subject Property, unless and until the Town has received both of these authorizations.
 - a. The Town acknowledges, understands, and agrees that it must fully and completely comply with all requirements imposed by the District as part of its permit application process as a precondition to issuance of a floodplain use

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

permit, including but not limited to: conducting, creation, and submission of a full hydrologic and hydraulic study of the Coconino Wash and the relevant tributary; completion and submission of all application paperwork; submission of any and all further studies, calculations, data, analysis, and other materials requested by District staff as necessary or useful to allow District staff to adequately assess and review the Town's request; and payment of all associated fees and costs, including without limitation, the application fee and all costs and/or fees associated with third-party review of the Town's applications and proposals referred to herein.

- b. Nothing in this Paragraph 8 shall be construed as preventing the Town from performing work limited to the purpose of repairing and/or maintaining mitigation structures, which repairs and/or maintenance must first be authorized by the District. Authorization for repair and/or maintenance shall be in the sole and exclusive discretion of the District, which discretion shall be exercised reasonably.
- 9. The Court retains continuing jurisdiction over this matter to monitor compliance with its Orders and to grant other and further relief as necessary.
- 10. Pursuant to Rule 65(d)(2) of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure, the Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, as well as any other person(s) who are in active concert or participation with any of these, and who receive actual

| notice of this Preliminary Injunction Order are bound to observe and comply with the | le |
|--|----|
| foregoing provisions and orders. | |

11. This Order shall be served upon the Defendant and any person(s) participating with or acting in concert with the Defendant by personal service, or by any other means reasonably calculated to provide such persons with actual notice hereof.

DATED this ____ day of February, 2019.

BY THE COURT

Hon. Cathleen Brown Nichols Superior Court Judge, Div. V

NITE: THE FLOOD GOUNDAY SHOWN ON THE CURRENT FIRM HAVEL DOESN'T ACCURATELY REPRESENT THE DOSINIO (TOPOGRAPHY A, LETTER OF MAP REVISION WILL BE FILED AS A PART OF THIS PROJECT TO MODIFY THE FLOOD PLAIN LIMITS. FEMAL FIRM PAINEL STATEMENT

FEMAL STORM REGISTRATION TO THE METAL TONE Y AND A DESIGNATION ZONE X IS
THE TREATM REGISTRATION TO THE TREATMENT OF THE TREATMENT RAW DUANTITIES NET: ALMONDO CHANGE SEAS EQUI OR SHARD HERSELD BY SECTION OF STREAM AND THE COUNTY AND MANUALS AND STANDARDS.

WAG STANDARD DETAILS, 2018 REVISION TO THE 2015 EDITION QUANTITIES

6" ANGULAR LOOSE RIP RAP ON FILTER FABRIC NOTE: THESE EARTHWORKS QUANTITIES HAVE NOT BEEN ADJUSTED FOR GROUND LOSS OR SHRINKAGE ESTIMATED EARTHWORK QUANTITIES: (NOT TO BE USED FOR BIDDING PURPOSE, CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR HIS DIWY CUT & FILL QUANTITIES) PROPOSED RIP RAP EXISTING RIP RAP GUI (C.X.) EILL (C.X.) 15,496 115 LEGEND PROJECT BOUNDARY SECTION CUT PROPOSED CONTOUR
EXISTING CONTOUR 1,303 SY KAIBAB NAVICU AL FORE SOURISM (1994) LOCATED IN THE S 1/2 OF THE NE 1/4 OF THE SE 1/4 OF SECTION 29 TOWNSHIP 30 NORTH, RANGE 03 EAST OF THE GILA & SALT RIVER MERIDIAN COCONINO COUNTY, ARIZONA STORY NO. 1,59,09,405 SAFOE LOSYONA MITIGATION GRADING PLANS 10X RANCH MATICULAL FOREST KEY MAP NB9741"45"W 1321,42" STRO DEVISO PARTHERESES. PROJECT BOUNDARY WATER B MATICHAL FOREST A PAREZL OF LAND STUARD) WHINI SECTION 25, TOWNSHIP 20 NORTH, RANGE AD SECTION 27, TOWNSHIP 20 NORTH, RANGE AD SECTION 27, TOWNSHIP 20 NORTH, RAZDAM, AND MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS TOLLINGS.

SEZHMEN 28 THE 18-ST / FA CORRECT OF THE SECTION 27, DESIGN A BUS BASS SECTION 28 THE SECTION 27, DESIGN A SECTION 28 THE SECTION 28 THE SECTION 29 THE SEC OWNER / DEVELOPER
TOWN OF TISSAMM
PO BIX 778, 845 MUSTAMG DRINE
TUSANNA, AZ 8673
TUSANNA, AZ 8673
TUSANNA, TOWNINE
TUSANNA, TOWNINE
ENAL: TUSANNATOWNANAREROSAMILCOM
ENAL: TUSANNATOWNANAREROSAMILCOM SHEET INDEX
C-101 COVER SHEET
C-102 GRADING BASINS
C-103 SECTIONS CROSS AREA TOTAL NUMBER OF LOTS TOTL NUMBER OF TRACTS AS—BUILT CERTIFICATION:

LEBERY CERTY THAT THE "BECOD GRAMMA" MEASURGURITS AS SHOWN
HERCON WERE MADE LUNGER MY SUPPRISON OR AS NOTED AND ARE CORRECT
TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWEDGE AND BELIEF. BASIS OF BEARING
THE HORIZONFAL CONTROL DATAW USED FOR THIS PROJECT IS MODIFIED
THE HORIZONFAL CONTROL POINT NO. 1
AND SCALED ABOUT CONTROL POINT NO. 1 BENCHMARK

INCREMENTATION FOR THIS PROJECT WAS DEDICED FROM AN INCREMENTATION FOR THIS PROJECT WAS DEDICATED FROM AN OPEN SOUTHON (OF HERDISENTER). A SECONMAY LEENANTON OPEN SOUTH CONTROL ON THE BENCHMENT LONG UNIT. (PID DIAJERT). WITH AN ELENATION DIFFERENCE OF OR' COCONINO COUNTY ENGINEER
COCONINO COUNTY, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
APPROVAL EXPIRES: APPROVED BY: REGISTERED ENGINEER/LAND SURVEYOR EIELD SURVEY
DATE COMPLETED: APRIL 19, 2016 ASSESSIONS PARGEL NUMBER VICINITY MAP EGAL DESCRIPTION 502-14-001 HES 20.07± AC 52 3 CIVIL
BOMMAN CONSULTING
1295 W. WASHINGTON STREET, SUITE
TEUFE, AZ 85281
PHONE: (480) 628-8830
MATTHEW R. CAMELY, P.E.
MACANLEY MEDIUM ANCONSULTINGS COM SURVEYOR

APEX LIND SURVEYOR

SEAN NOTH JULIANE DRIVE

MULIANS, AZ BED46

(928) BE3-5790

APEXLANDSURVEYSOGNAILCON DATE DATE 050610-01-003 SHEET NO. STAYO NYTH STREET WARRANT TO THE 10X RANCH Bowman C-101 CHRIST CHRIST MITIGATION GRADING PLANS DESCRIPTION COVER SHEET REVISIONS

